



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

MECHANICAL AND RHEOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF POLYPROPYLENE (PP)/LINEAR LOW DENSITY POLYETHYLENE (LLDPE) BLEND FILLED WITH TALC AND CALCIUM CARBONATE COMPOSITIONS

Hassanien M S*, Dr. Seedahmed A I

Department of Plastic Engineering, College of Engineering & Technology Industries, Sudan
University of Science and Technology, Sudan.

ABSTRACT

The mechanical, rheological properties and density of polypropylene (PP) and linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) blend filled with talc and calcium carbonates were studied. PP/LLDPE (70/30) was selected and investigated at different four fractions of talc and calcium carbonate. The mechanical properties such as impact resistance and hardness were investigated. The rheological properties such as melt flow index (MFI) and melt density were evaluated. In addition, density test have been determined. The results indicated that incorporate talc and calcium carbonate led to increase the hardness, MFI and melt density while decreased the impact resistance PP/LLDPE blend. It was obtained that increase talc and calcium carbonate content into PP/LLDPE blends will increase the density. Taking into consideration, it was concluded that, the optimum composition provided the good mechanical, rheological properties and density is PP/LLDPE/Talc/Calcium carbonate (42/18/20/20) wt%.

Keywords: PP/LLDPE; Talc; Calcium carbonet ; Mechanical; Rheological properties.

INTRODUCTION

The interest in polypropylene(PP) and polyethylene (PE) is specifically due to the fact that both these polymers are widely used as important engineering materials in the automotive, electrical appliances and packaging industries due to their excellent properties such as rigidity and stiffness, oil resistance and their thermal stability [1]. Apart from these good properties that polypropylene has, its applications are often limited due to its low impact strength and Young's modulus, particularly at low and high temperature loading conditions. These polypropylene drawbacks can be considerably improved by blending polypropylene with other polymers [2-3]. Generally there are two ways used to improve polymer properties are polymer blending and the use of filler to form polymer composites. These two methods were extensively, but separately used. The blending of two or more polymers is a cheaper and more effective alternative, not only for the development of polymers with new properties, but also for recycling of greener materials[6]. Polypropylene/polyethylene(PP/PE) are amongst polymer blends that were studied by various researchers [6]. Three different types of PE, namely low-density polyethylene (LDPE), high density polyethylene (HDPE), and linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) were used to modify the physical and mechanical behaviour of polypropylene by forming physical blends [6-9]. Blending of

polypropylene and different polyethylene's largely depends on the miscibility or immiscibility of the two components. Polypropylene, LDPE or HDPE are generally considered immiscible in the whole composition range and shows a remarkable phase separation during cooling/crystallization [1]. Studies dealing with polymer blends reinforced with rigid fillers to give three-phase polymer composites are still fairly new. In cases where these studies were done, synthetic and mineral fibers and commonly used are talc, calcium carbonate, kaolin, magnesium carbonate, silica, alumina, titanium dioxide and mica carbonate were used as fillers [10-11].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials specification

2.1.1 Polypropylene (PP) Materials:

Supplied by Khartoum Petrochemical Company (KPC, Sudan), in powder shown in table1.

Table1. Specification of KPC-Polypropylene (PP)

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Trade name | PP-114 |
| Density | 0.914 g·cm ⁻³ |
| Melting point | 230°C |
| Melt flow index (MFI) | 30g/10min (230°C, 2.16 kg). |
| Tensile stress at Yield | 27.5MPa |
| Impact Modulus | 950MPa |

| | |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Izod impact resistance | 20 J/m |
| Heat deflection temperature | 71°C |

2.1.2 Linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE):

Supplied by SABIC (Saudi Arabia) in pellet shown in table2.

Table2. Specification of SABIC-LLDPE

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Trade name | LLDPE-218N |
| Density | 0.918 g·cm ⁻³ |
| Melting point | 190°C |
| Melt flow index (MFI) | 2g/10 min (190°C, 2.16 kg). |
| Tensile stress at Yield | 12MPa |
| Impact Modulus | 260MPa |
| Vicat Softening Point | 98°C |

2.2 Methods (Preparation of the compositions):

In the experimental study, four different talc and calcium carbonate concentrations were added to PP/LLDPE (70/30) to produce composites make up a total of 1kg. The samples were prepared in injection moulding machine at 180°C - 220°C. Then the following were carried out : impact resistance, hardness, MFI and melt. In addition density test has been determined. PP/LLDPE filled with talc and calcium carbonate to produce PP/LLDPE blend composition is shown in table 1.

Table3. Composition percentage of PP/LLDPE blends filled with talc and calcium carbonate

| Exp No | PP (Wt %) | LLDPE (Wt %) | Talc (Wt %) | CaCo3 (Wt %) |
|--------|-----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | 70 | 30 | - | - |
| 2 | 56 | 24 | 20 | - |
| 3 | 56 | 24 | - | 20 |
| 4 | 56 | 24 | 10 | 10 |
| 5 | 42 | 18 | 20 | 20 |

2.2.1 Impact test (Izod):

Izod impact strength values of the composition were evaluated with a Resil impact test instrument according to the ASTM D256 test procedure at room temperature. Izod impact tests specimens were molded in a size of 12.7 mm (width), 3.2 mm (thickness) and notched width 10.20mm.

2.2.2 Hardness test (Shore D):

The hardness test of the composition was carried out on a Innova testing machine according to the ASTM D 2240 and ISO 868.

2.2.3 Melt flow index (MFI) and Melt density tests:

The melt flow index (MFI) composition were determined by a Shijiazhu Ang Zhong Shi testing machine according ISO 1133:2005 method and facilities of automatic cutting. The die diameter of 2.095mm, charge canister diameter 9.55mm, length 160mm and applied dead mass of 325g.

2.2.4 Density test:

The density test of the composition was carried out on BOROSIL pycnometer (25ml) according to the ASTM D 1505.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Mechanical properties:

3.1.1 impact test

The impact properties of PP/LLDPE blend and composition are summarized in Table 4 and Figure 1. The impact resistance of PP/LLDPE blend was 30.01J/m. It is clearly seen that the addition 20 wt % of talc to PP/LLDPE has decreased the impact resistance to 20.53 %.

Table4. Impact resistance of PP/LLDPE and composition

| Exp no | Notched Width (mm) | Energy (J) | Impact resistance(J/m) |
|--------|--------------------|------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 10.38 | 0.0960 | 30.01 |
| 2 | 10.30 | 0.0763 | 23.85 |
| 3 | 10.30 | 0.0667 | 20.83 |
| 4 | 10.35 | 0.673 | 21.04 |
| 5 | 10.33 | 0.730 | 22.80 |

The addition of 20 wt % calcium carbonate has decreased the impact resistance to 30.59%. It can also be seen that the addition of 10 wt % of talc and calcium carbonate has decreased on the impact resistance to 29.89%. Also 20 wt % of talc and of calcium carbonate has decreased the impact resistance to 24.03%. This result may be related to the effects of LLDPE, talc and calcium carbonate on PP. It is well documented that LLDPE increases the impact strength, while talc and calcium carbonate decreases, especially for higher contents [10-12].




Figure1. Impact resistance of PP/LLDPE and composition

3.1.2 Hardness test (Shore D)

The hardness of PP/LLDPE and composition are shown in Table5 and Figure 2.

Table5. Hardness of PP/LLDPE and composition

| Exp no | Hardness |
|--------|----------|
| 1 | 57.4 |
| 2 | 68.1 |
| 3 | 66.2 |
| 4 | 63.1 |
| 5 | 62.5 |

The hardness of PP/LLDPE blend was 66.2. Addition of 20 wt% of talc to PP/LLDPE has increased the hardness to 18.6%. It can also be seen that the addition of 20 wt % calcium carbonate has increased the hardness to 15.3%. It can also be seen that the addition of 10 wt % of talc and calcium carbonate has increased the hardness to 9.9%. While the addition of 20 wt % of talc and of calcium carbonate has increased hardness to 8.9%. The hardness result may relate to the interaction between PP/LLDPE and talc/calcium carbonate and this restricts the mobility and deformability of the PP/LLDPE [10-12].




Figure2. Hardness of PP/LLDPE and composition

3.2 Rheological properties:

3.2.1 Melt flow index (MFI) test:

The melt flow index (MFI) test is used to investigate the flow properties of PP/LLDPE and composition are shown in Table 6 and Figure 3.

Table6. MFI of PP/LLDPE and composition

| Exp no | MFI (g/10min) |
|--------|---------------|
| 1 | 23.53 |
| 2 | 43.00 |
| 3 | 35.30 |
| 4 | 31.80 |
| 5 | 26.27 |

The MFI of PP/LLDPE blend was 23.53g/10min. The result showed addition of 20 wt% of talc to PP/LLDPE increased MFI to 82.75%. It can also be seen that the addition of 20 wt % calcium carbonate increased MFI to 50.02%. It can also be seen that the addition of 10 wt % of talc and calcium carbonate to

PP/LLDPE has increased the MFI to 35.15%. Also addition of 20 wt % of talc and of calcium carbonate has increased MFI to 11.64%. This result may be directly related to the undeformability of the filler and its lack of contribution to the flow [10-12].




Figure3. MFI of PP/LLDPE and composition

3.2.2 Melt density test

The melt density test of PP/LLDPE and composition are shown in Table 7 and Figure 4.

Table7. The melt density of PP/LLDPE and composition

| Exp no | The Melt Density (g/cm ³) |
|--------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | 0.704 |
| 2 | 0.815 |
| 3 | 0.831 |
| 4 | 0.879 |
| 5 | 0.866 |

The melt density of PP/LLDPE blend was 0.705g/cm³. The result showed addition of 20 wt% of talc to PP/LLDPE has increased melt density to 15.77%. It can also be seen that the addition of 20 wt % calcium carbonate to PP/LLDPE increased melt density to 18.04%. It can also be seen that the addition of 10 wt % of talc and calcium carbonate to PP/LLDPE increased the melt density to 24.86%. While the addition of 20 wt % of talc and of calcium carbonate to PP/LLDPE has increased melt density to 23.01%.




Figure4. The Melt density of PP/LLDPE and composition
This result may be directly related to the undeformability of the filler and its lack of contribution to the flow [10-12].

3.3 Density test

The density test is used to investigate the flow properties of PP/LLDPE and composition are shown in Table 8 and Figure 5.

Table8. The density of PP/LLDPE and composition

| Exp no | Density (kg/m ³) |
|--------|------------------------------|
| 1 | 0.782 |
| 2 | 0.934 |
| 3 | 0.911 |
| 4 | 0.951 |
| 5 | 1.040 |

The density of PP/LLDPE blend was 0.782g/cm³. The result showed addition of 20 wt% of talc to PP/LLDPE (56/24) increased density to 17.63%. It can also be seen that the addition of 20 wt % calcium carbonate has increased density to 14.74%. It can also be seen that the addition of 10 wt % of talc and calcium carbonate has increased the density to 19.77%. While the addition of 20 wt % of talc and of calcium carbonate has increased density s to 30.98%. This result may be related to the effects of LLDPE, talc and calcium carbonate on PP matrix. LLDPE decreases the density, while talc and calcium carbonate increases, especially for higher contents.




Figure5. The density of PP/LLDPE and composition

CONCLUSION

The compositions of PP/LLDPE (70/30) with different percentage of talc and calcium carbonate fillers were prepared. The work obtained incorporate talc and calcium carbonate as fillers on PP/LLDPE blend led to increase the hardness, MFI and melt density. While decreased the impact resistance of PP/LLDPE blend. The work obtained increase the fillers content (talc and calcium carbonate) into PP/LLDPE blends has increased the density. It was concluded that, the optimum composition provided the good mechanical, rheological properties and density is PP/LLDPE/Talc/Calcium carbonate (42/18/20/20) wt%.

REFERENCES

- [1]Muhab S. Shalby Hassanien, Dr. Ahmed I. Seedahmed , "Evaluation and Improvement of Mechanical, Thermal and Rheological Properties of Polypropylene (PP) using Linear Low Density Polyethylene (LLDPE)", (2014).
- [2]Abu Ghalia, Mustafa A, "Mechanical, rheological, and thermal properties of calcium carbonate filled polypropylene / linear low density polyethylene composites", (2011).
- [3]Shri Kant, Dr.Urmila, Jitendra kumar and Gaurav Pundir, "Study of talc filled polypropylene–a concept for improving mechanical properties of polypropylene", (2013).
- [4]D. G. Dikobe, A. S. Luyt , "Comparative study of the morphology and properties of PP/LLDPE/wood powder and MAPP/LLDPE/wood powder polymer blend composites", (2010).
- [5]Ogah, A. O. and Afiukwa J. N, "The effect of linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) on the mechanical properties of high density polyethylene (HDPE) film blends", (2012).

- [6]Yi Liua, Shu-Cai Lia & Hong Liua, "Melt Rheological Properties of LLDPE/PP Blends Compatibilized by Cross-Linked LLDPE/PP Blends (LLDPE-PP)", (2013).
- [7]L. A.Utracki Polymer blend handbook”, Volume 1, (2002).
- [8]D. G. Dikobe, A. S. Luyt, "Study of the morphology and properties of PP/LLDPE/wood powder and MAPP/LLDPE/wood powder polymer blend composites", (2010).
- [9] Clive Maier, Teresa Calafut (1998): Polypropylene, The Definitive User’s Guide and Databook, Plastics Design Library.
- [10] Kock, Aust, Grein and Gahleitner , "Polypropylene/polyethylene blends as models for high-impact propylene-ethylene copolymers, part 2: Relation between composition and mechanical performance", (2014).
- [11] Kristin, Juha, Matthew "Polypropylene composition with improved sealing and thermal Properties", (2013).
- [12] Mehmet, "Effect of Talc and Heat Treatment on the Properties of Polypropylene/EVA Composite", (2007).

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>many articles in the plastics engineering field. He occupied several academic posts including: Head department, deputy dean and dean college of engineering at SUST. He worked in Libya at Department of petroleum Engineering, Misurata University for two years. Currently, he is working at Department of Plastics Engineering, Sudan University of Science and technology-Sudan. Email:kmmmmahmed@gmail.com</p> |
|--|--|

AUTHOR BIBLIOGRAPHY

| | |
|---|--|
|  | <p>Muhab Salah Aldien Shalby Hassanien¹ The first author did his B.Sc. degree in Plastic Engineering at Sudan University of Science and Technology (SUST), Khartoum, Sudan in 2005. M.Tech. Degree in polymer science and engineering at ANNA University, Chennai, India in 2011. Currently, he is working towards the Ph.D. degree in Plastic Engineering at SUST. His research interests include material, blend and composite of polymer, polymer processing and testing. Mr. Muhab Salah Aldien Shalby Hassanien is a senior Lecturer in SUST, Eng. College, since August 2011 till now. Email:muhabshalby@gmail.com</p> |
|  | <p>Dr. Ahmed Ibrahim Ahmed Sidahmed² The second author has received his B.Sc. degree in Textile Engineering Technology at University of Gezira (U of G), Sudan in 1988 and M.Sc. degree in Textile Chemistry at (U of G), Sudan in 1991. PG Diploma degree in Computer Science (U of G), Sudan in 2002, also M.Sc. degree in Computer Science and Information at (U of G), Sudan in 2003, and PhD degree in Plastic Engineering at Sudan University of Science and Technology (SUST), Khartoum, Sudan in 2005. He published</p> |